

Subject: Levishchenko Mykhailo

Additional Notes

Date: 5 Feb 1969

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3828
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

1. At the public dinner party in Chicago, Ill. on 6 Dec 1964 in which approx. 30 emigres and 16 Sovs were present, Subject sat next to V. Shemerliak and made notes of what was going on while at the same time talking with his neighbors. Next day Subject was strongly upbraided for his taking notes by KOLOS OVA. The latter criticized very severely Subject at her room telling him that "this was very uncultured". Our Source was told it by YARENKO Ivan of Chicago who happened to overhear their conversation in hotel.

Subject's participation in conversations was usually limited to generalities and questions on emigre- affairs and individuals. On some of them he commented in accordance with of ficial line.

On Monday, 7 Dec 1964 one of our Sources of Chicago had a 3 hour talk with Subject at the hotel. Again, Subject was interested in emigre- affairs, showed some knowledge of their political intricacies, wanted to ascertain what were the chances for development of "cultural contacts" with emigration. He also asked to send him any books regarding that he will receive everything. Complained about KGB-people who criticized him. Avoided any discussion about SHMERDIAL. According to the latter he had "some interesting talks" with him (Shemerliak) and greeted him by first name and patronim at the dinner party saying how come "they (emigrants) had not devoured you yet".

On matters of "cultural exchange" with emigration Subject "hinted" that he wished to be included in everything and contacts should be maintained through his Organization, or rather himself.

In general he pretended to be a very important, "behind the scenes" individual, with great authority and in powerful position.

2. In Canada Subject behaved the same way as in Chicago, Ill. He avoided any open collision or even competition, with KOLOS OVA and left all the public limelight for the latter. In his turn he compensated his being publicly in shadow with stressing his importance as a powerful man in Kiev "who acts from behind the stage".

SECRET

Subject had quite an obvious tendency to "undermine" Kolosova's position by saying that all contacts with Kiev should be developed through him and not Kolosova's organization. While doing so he often used to play on a 'patriotic' element pointing out that maintaining the contact with him meant a bypassing of Moscow.

3. According to BOW, Michel of New York, American Express Agent, Subject was subordinated to Kolosova "at least in general" and did not seem to see eye to eye with her in everything. He usually shared hotel-rooms with KOCHERGA and seemed to be on friendly terms with the latter. To be more precise - on better terms than with Kolosova.

4. In Winnipeg, Canada, Subject visited RUK accompanied by KATZHANIVSK Stepan. At the dinner party that followed next day (on 9 Dec 1964) Subject had a talk with our Source. He knew who ^{politically} our Source was and wanted to know what was the attitude of his group to "cultural contacts". The same he wanted to learn about OSH B and asked what kind of man was the new leader of their organization.

About himself he said that he was married, had 2 children, and was a graduate of conservatorium. Complained that "had much work and little time left for personal life". His Organization employs about 20 persons. Invited to visit him in Kiev. Present contacts he explained as the consequence of the liberalization of the regime. There can be no return to Stalinism. The Lenin's influences in the Kremlin remarkably increased after latest changes in Moscow. Among others, mentioned MALINOVSKIY as stemming from the USSR will give. This should have some meaning, too. The USSR wanted to establish diplomatic relations with the USA but the latter replied that it was better to wait.

When our Source was presenting every ^{Soviet} guest at the party with his book on "The System of German Concentration Camps", Subject asked for two copies.